# DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES 744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 322-5802

September 10, 1987

ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 87-122

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: SOCIAL SERVICES ALLOCATIONS FOR FY 1987/88 FOR THE CHILD WELFARE SERVICES AND COUNTY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT PROGRAMS

Attached are your Child Welfare Services (CWS) and County Services Block Grant (CSBG) allocations for FY 1987/88 (Attachment I). These allocations are subject to review by the County Supervisors Association and the Joint Legislative Budget Committee. Should any changes occur, counties will be notified.

### CWS Allocation

Federal and state funds available for the FY 1987/88 CWS allocation total \$272,129,000. The CWS allocation includes funding for the Emergency Assistance (EA), Emergency Response (ER), Family Maintenance (FM), Family Reunification (FR), and Permanent Placement (PP) programs. Federal, state and county FY 1986/87 Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA) funds of \$11,535,000 have been incorporated within the allocation methodology. There is no COLA adjustment for FY 1987/88. Also incorporated in the allocation methodology is \$1,621,000 (includes FY 1986/87 COLA) for the Notice of Action and Appeals/Fair Hearing premise.

As stated in the FY 1987/88 Budget Act, it is the intent of the Legislature that by FY 1988/89 funds appropriated for CWS be allocated to counties based upon a formula that uses a 100 percent weighting of CWS caseloads. The FY 1987/88 Budget Act language mandates that the State Department of Social Services (SDSS) allocate CWS funds using an allocation methodology that places a 75 percent weighting on CWS caseloads. Consequently, for the CWS programs (ER, FM, FR and PP) caseload weightings have been increased from 50 percent in FY 1986/87 to 75 percent in FY 1987/88. The remaining 25 percent of the basic cost available (appropriation less COLA funds) was allocated using an equal weighting of FG/U, FC and 0-17 population.

In addition, the Budget Act requires the Department to take into consideration an adjustment to individual county CWS allocations for those counties with county operated emergency shelter care facilities. Actual FY 1985/86 emergency shelter care costs (\$21,843,000) increased by the COLA provided in the 1987/88 Budget Act were used to make the adjustment for those counties. This adjustment must be used to fund only those costs that are allowable for the county operated emergency shelter care facility. These costs will be monitored throughout the fiscal year and any surplus in the funds allocated for county operated emergency shelter care facilities will be redistributed to all counties in a manner to be determined later.

An adjustment for social worker salaries (based on FY 1985/86 actual average salaries) and an offset to basic costs to fund the CWS portion of one social worker between the CWS and CSBG programs were also made to the CWS program allocation.

In the event that the initial allocation of CWS basic costs and COLA funds did not provide a county with a CWS funding level equal to their FY 1986/87 allocation increased by the county's actual FY 1986/87 COLA, an adjustment was made to guarantee that no county receives less funds in FY 1987/88 than it received in FY 1986/87 plus COLA. The hold harmless adjustment was made prior to adjusting counties for their county operated emergency shelter facility costs and before allocating the Notice of Action and Appeals/Fair Hearings premise. Attachment II details the respective caseloads and weightings used in the FY 1987/88 CWS allocation.

#### Emergency Assistance

The Department's statewide estimate of \$17,261,000 for anticipated Federal EA-ANEC program claiming for FY 1987/88 is open-ended. Because there is no cap on the federal funds, the Department, as in previous years, has determined an amount for each county and deducted this amount from their state/federal allocation to derive each county's closed-end allocation.

For allocation purposes, the SDSS statewide federal fund estimate of \$17,261,000 was spread to each CWS program component (ER, FM, FR and PP) and then allocated to counties using the same allocation formula and weightings utilized to distribute basic CWS funds. Costs claimed to EA-ANEC are funded 50 percent federal (open-ended), 25 percent state and 25 percent county (subject to the SB 14 limit on county share). Only the 25 percent state share of EA-ANEC will be charged against your CWS closed-end allocation.

## CSBG Allocation

The CSBG allocation includes funding for the In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) Administration, Information and Referral, Adult Protective Services and Out-of-Home Care Services for Adults, Staff Development and Optional programs. State funds available for the CSBG allocation total \$61,693,000. The CSBG allocation methodology is essentially unchanged from FY 1986/87. This methodology utilizes various caseload and population measures, incorporates an adjustment for social worker salaries, provides funds for the CSBG portion of one social worker in each county and includes an adjustment to guarantee that no county receive less funds in FY 1987/88 than it received in the initial FY 1984/85 allocation.

As in FY 1986/87, savings of \$971,000 for the IHSS Case Management Information and Payrolling System (CMIPS) is included within the FY 1987/88 CSBG program allocation. This savings is related to time saved in completion of assessment forms, Notice of Action forms, and provider input forms. The intent was to allocate CMIPS savings to those counties who benefit the most from this automated system and have sufficient flexibility to absorb savings. Therefore, the entire \$971,000 in CMIPS administrative savings has been allocated to those counties who are projected to have a minimum of \$20,000 in annual savings as a result of the CMIPS system. Attachment II reflects the allocation indicators for the CSBG programs.

### Year-End Closing

Any funds that are not expended by the counties may be reallocated during the year-end closing process to those counties which have exceeded their respective CWS and/or CSBG allocations. Hold harmless counties will only share in reallocated funds to the extent these funds are in excess of the amount of funds the county received to achieve a hold harmless funding level. This CWS/CSBG year-end closing process will not occur until two years after the end of the 1987/88 FY as counties may continue to claim CWS/CSBG related costs against their respective allocations during this time.

# County Share

Welfare and Institutions Code Section 10100 outlines each county's required matching funds for the OCSS program as the amount specified in the code or 25 percent of actual county expenditures, whichever is less. It also states that these amounts will be increased by the annual COLA's

contained in the Budget Act. Therefore, each county's SB 14 share for CWS has been increased for the FY 1986/87 COLA augmentation authorized by the FY 1987/88 Budget Act. The SB 14 required match for CSBG is the same as in FY 1986/87. (See Attachment I.)

Questions concerning this allocation should be directed to the County Administrative Expense Control Bureau at (916) 322-5802.

ROBERT L. GARCIA Deputy Director Administration

Attachments

cc: CWDA

FY 87/88 CHILD WELFA GRANT ALLOCATIONS

FY 87/88 Closed-End Anticipated Fed Closed-End CSBG EA Funds CWS Allocation CWS Allocation Allocation 12,532,515 796,446 11,736,069 2,176,832 Alameda Alpine 58,196 2,490 55,706 9,690 Amador 125,854 5,044 120,810 43,508 Butte 1,994,248 187,436 1,806,812 648,094 Calaveras 246,678 18,473 228,205 51,931 Colusa 172,638 10,765 161,872 40,726 Contra Costa 5,506,524 350,237 5,156,287 1,769,899 Del Norte 307,922 25,029 282,893 54,985 522,812 147,857 El Dorado 561,948 39,136 7,050,385 440,992 6,609,393 Fresno 2,229,987 Glenn 351,550 27,457 324,093 63,596 785,315 Humboldt 854,918 69,603 272,380 Imperial 757,951 42,950 715,001 202,885 Inyo 220,583 7,897 212,686 46,039 Kern 6,140,743 374,463 5,766,280 746,457 Kings 723,640 54,314 669,326 148,721 Lake 405,686 26,133 379,553 169,528 Lassen 203,671 12,530 191,141 46,684 104,087,560 Los Angeles 7,053,495 97,034,065 24,300,873 Madera 571,164 36,519 534,644 191,161 Marin 820,380 57,151 763,229 288,033 Mariposa 142,319 6,037 136,283 42,323 Mendocino 706,020 48,955 657,065 251,000 1,847,145 Merced 143,052 1,704,094 452,560 Modoc 103,122 8,023 95,099 20,565 Mono 70,631 2,175 68,456 15,130 2,070,049 Monterey 2,170,103 100,054 579,021 Napa 646,517 36,977 609,540 186,690 Nevada 519,116 39,467 479,649 151,229 Orange 15,935,045 766,452 15, 168, 593 2,597,589 Placer 834,585 47,631 786,953 356,536 Plumas 179,490 11,002 168,488 48,539 Riverside 8,825,483 606,551 8,218,932 1,799,732 Sacramento 10,487,145 635,773 9,851,372 2,259,623 San Benito 15,478 183,260 198,737 51,797 San Bernardino 10,069,981 704,052 9,365,929 2,156,799 San Diego 22,647,245 1,285,795 21,361,450 3,431,284 San Francisco 6,592,365 410,399 6,181,966 2,694,748 San Joaquin 5,317,303 256,440 5,060,863 1,141,129 San Luis Obispo 1,320,858 76,774 1,244,084 180,649 San Mateo 3,962,362 185,955 3,776,407 1,523,349 Santa Barbara 1,920,623 114,571 1,806,052 435,806 Santa Clara 12,721,228 672,151 12,049,077 2,378,334 Santa Cruz 1,002,830 61,801 941,029 403,073 Shasta 1,528,127 107,919 1,420,208 281,109 Sierra 63,351 3,026 60,325 15.111 Siskiyou 356,166 17,889 338,277 94,861 Solano 2,466,436 194,245 2,272,191 479,892 Sonoma 2,649,976 198,438 2,451,538 554,019 Stanislaus 2,825,804 146,661 2,679,143 885,267 Sutter 568,258 57,514 510,745 115,897 Tehama 616,663 43,518 573,146 122,850 Trinity 184,267 13,791 170,476 24,143 Tulare 3,338,508 250,136 3,088,372 1,124,044 Toulumne 277,598 13,571 264,028 82,076 Ventura 3,402,291 201,433 3,200,859 696,508 Yolo 59,137 930,023 870,886 200,773 Yuba 1,006,522 79,596 926,927 209,079 TOTAL 272,129,000 17,261,000 254,868,000 61,693,000

	CWS	CSBG
	SB 14 Share	SB 14 Share
A 1 mm = 4 m	2 567 226	
Alameda Alpine	2,567,226	720,918
Amador	13,476 22,892	3,176
Butte	246,079	6,118
Calaveras	39,389	80,807
Colusa	24,807	10,791
Contra Costa	2,030,878	7,841 607,044
Del Norte	42,418	12,643
El Dorado	116,834	39,776
Fresno	1,147,429	309,864
Glenn	31,328	10,746
Humboldt	221,803	68,350
Imperial	179,242	55,708
Inyo	40,294	9,262
Kern	852,144	245,980
Kings	147,489	45,680
Lake	80,628	20,876
Lassen Los Angeles	27,448 18,350,758	9,889
Madera	146,553	4,928,860
Marin	317,177	44,559 98,790
Mariposa	14,652	4,751
Mendocino	166,884	51,821
Merced	305,951	89,547
Modoc	16,677	5,237
Mono	11,034	3,915
Monterey	513,409	136,854
Napa	160,146	46,265
Nevada	76,380	20,625
Orange	2,205,410	563,445
Placer	183,597	55,421
Plumas	29,437	9,009
Riverside Sacramento	1,472,688	359,679
San Benito	2,01 <b>7,857</b> 35,0 <b>4</b> 9	610,082
San Bernardino	1,661,898	9,041 464,270
San Diego	3,629,880	959,319
San Francisco	2,000,352	558,744
San Joaquín	1,036,785	292,260
San Luis Obispo	227,584	58,568
San Mateo	1,150,512	334,103
Santa Barbara	462,183	130,036
Santa Clara	3,078,158	815,726
Santa Cruz Shasta	337,071	98,107
Sierra	285,286 13,536	80,037
Siskiyou	60,054	3,672
Solano	435,836	16,682
Sonoma	562,048	122,171 161,202
Stanislaus	654,307	187,202
Sutter	113,003	30,575
Tehama	74,479	20,381
Trinity	21,255	6,370
Tulare	572,241	177,857
Tuolumne	55,503	16,018
Ventura	674,166	189,124
Yolo	175,768	55,369
Yuba	134,370	41,745
TOTAL	51,271,738	14 122 000

# FY 1986/87 CWS AND CSBG ALLOCATION METHODOLOGIES

## 1. Allocation factors/weighting:

## A. CWS

- 1. AFDC-FC Children @8.33%
  - A. Source CA 237 FC
  - B. Time Period April 1986 March 1987
- 2. AFDC FG/U Children @ 8.33%
  - A. Source CA 237 FG/U
  - B. Time Period April 1986 -March 1987
- 3. Population 0-17 @ 8.33%
  - A. Source Census
  - B. Time Period Projected 87/88
- 4. ER/FM @ 37.5% by Component
  - A. Source SOC 291
  - B. Time Period April 1986 March 1987
- 5. FR/PP @ 37.5% by Component
  - A. Source FCIS
  - B. Time Period June 1986 December 1986

## B. CSBG

- 1. IHSS Admin. % to Total Open Cases
  - A. Source SOC 296
  - B. Time Period July 1986 March 1987
- 2. I&R
  - A. Total Population @ 50%
    - 1. Source Census
    - 2. Time Period 87/88 Projected
  - B. AFDC SSI/SSP recipients
    - 1. Source SDX File
    - 2. Time Period December 1986 May 1987
- 3. Staff Development
  - A. Social Workers @ 50%
    - Source Social Services Management Information System (SSMIS)
    - 2. Time Period January 1986 December 1986
  - B. Staff Development Expenditures @ 50%
    - 1. Source SSMIS
    - 2. Time Period January 1986 December 1986
- 4. Optionals
  - A. Total Population @ 50%
    - 1. Source Census
    - 2. Time Period 87/88 Projected

A. CWS

B. CSBG

- B. Optional Expenses @ 50%
  - 1. Source SSMIS
  - 2. Time Period January 1986 December 1986
- 5. Adult Protective & Out-of-Home Care Services
  - A. OHC/PS Cases @50%
    - 1. Source SOC 242
    - 2. Time Period January 1986 December 1986
  - B. Population 18 & over @ 50%
    - 1. Source Census
    - 2. Time Period Projected 87/88
- 2. The following adjustments were then applied to each allocation:
  - A. Adjustment for percent variance from mean Social Worker (SW) salary.
  - B. Adjustment for one SW per county.
  - C. Reduce total funds by county share.